

occupation tax increase it will be rebuilt. I just think it's getting a little low and this amendment adds to that but I understand if the body chose to pass 1063 with the enacting dates that are in there, there is a cash flow problem and this does solve that, but since I didn't like the way the problem was created, I still will vote against the bill, voting on Senator Warner's amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Madam President and members, I certainly agree with Senator Moore. I guess this is probably the first attempt to make bad, some bad tax policy better. I think that we made a mistake when we passed 1063. We didn't do it right. Senator Moore is exactly right and this is only the first attempt. There's going to be many more attempts and they will have to be made in order to make that bill work. I support the amendment because I think it has to be done. We can't have a shortfall because I can see where that will happen with fertilizer. The income will not be there and the sales tax, they'll have to be paid these rebates, so I support the amendment but I just think it's another attempt and there will have to be several more to make the bill work, 1063, and this is just the first one of them. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Warner, followed by Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body, I want to rise in support of Senator Warner's amendment and again make the point I think that Senator Schellpeper graciously made as well. Whether we supported 1063 or not, what we need to do is what is in front of the public, what this constitutional amendment is before the public. We want to make sure it cash flows, it's the responsible thing that we need to do in order to complete the policy that the Legislature has determined to go on, at least at this moment in time. So I'd urge the body that regardless of where we were on the debate, this is something that we need to do to have at least the policy that was determined to be the best way to go at this time to be a complete cash...at least it would cash flow and it would at least make sense in a complete package even if we had members who opposed it a week or so ago. So I urge the body to put those differences still aside and to support the