

and sending automatically to the General Fund 15 percent of their money. If we did that, I think we would probably get over the hump, too. So, I'm not going to support this amendment. I assume it will pass. But I do think it's important to note that this agency has only been to the General Fund last year and this year, and I think that they don't want to come back again in the future. But if they should, I don't think we should close the door and lock it.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Anyone else wishing to address the amendment to the amendment? Seeing no one, Senator Moore, did you wish to close?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Mr. Speaker, members, just briefly. I don't think you're closing the door and locking it, Senator Wesely, by adopting this amendment. It's intent language that says it's not our intent to continue General Fund appropriations. Obviously, if there is a need for General Fund appropriations, and the decision is not to eliminate the Athletic Commission a year from now, that request will be made and this body will have to deal with it. And so I think it's simply some intent language saying we're not committing, henceforth and forever more, automatically to General Fund the Athletic Commission. We are basically going to say our commitment is one year, as you look at the entire picture. And then we can go through the proper time process and look at it. And I think that gives Senator Conway and his committee a proper hammer to do a thorough research and a variety of interim studies to find a different way to deal with this. The only other thing is, as Senator Schmit and others have said, I think, the difficulty in trying to eliminate this...this one commission has been instrumental, I mean an educational process for us to look at. And one, I think, as far as we need to devise a better rule mechanism trying to find a way to deal with this. And secondly, I hope the body only understands that decisions like this, if you're not willing to eliminate agencies that have either outlived their usefulness, or can no longer sustain themselves, in future years there may be great difficulty, and if you're not willing to reprioritize government, you simply have to raise taxes to fund government, that's not always popular either. But that's discussion for another day. I simply ask for the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. You've heard the closing on the amendment to the amendment offered by Senator