

means that the federal government controls this area. The Congress, Senator Wickersham is correct, last night the United States Senate did pass a version of this bill that it's going to go to Conference Committee, so the items that are being requested in the bill are going to be addressed by the United States Congress. Montana, last year, passed a similar bill that had a temporary restraining order placed against this bill and that it had similar provisions and they cited preemption and that's the ruling of the federal court in that area. And, certainly, I think Senator Wickersham made a statement that was telling to me I don't want to, at this point, get into the merits of whether this is a good safety issue or not because there are some parts of this that are good safety issues. I think that's part of what Congress is addressing and that's probably the reason that they have some preemption abilities. But Senator Wickersham did make the comment that this bill, in his opinion, did have some influence on Congress, and I submit to you that's part of the reason the bill was here, was to have some impact and emphasis on Congress. Whether it was successful or not, I guess that's up to those who want to use it for that purpose and those that don't will dismiss that. Now the facts of the matter are the committee did look at it. The committee felt that the bill should not be brought to the floor. It did only get three votes in the committee. I know that Senator Byars requested an Attorney General's Opinion. I don't know if he's...I understand, but I'm not for sure, he's asked for another Attorney General's Opinion based upon the amendments that were here. And there are issues that need to be discussed, probably those issues are best decided for Congress, simply because they have...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...the area, the preemption abilities to do those sorts of things. I would submit to you also that trains should probably, at some point in time, address that issue of two-way braking. I mean, it's crazy at times to have that possibility of runaway trains to do it. That is not in our area to do. And, based upon my reading of the temporary injunction that was placed by the federal court in Montana, looking that Congress has addressed and will address the issue, whether they do it correctly or not, I don't know. But, at this point in time, I'm not ready to advance the bill, particularly at this point when I think it was there and placed as at least some sort of a wedge or incentive for Congress to move...