

either are going to be for one year or two years, but let's don't do things like the second amendment...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...where we get into robbing and stealing other programs. And I think, in essence, I don't know if it was the intent, I'm sure probably it wasn't, but some of the perception is that if you don't want to do it my way, this way, here's the other way we're going to get it done, and I don't think on both of those programs that is a wise policy. Both are worthwhile programs. Both are necessary programs. Both are absolutely needed. The Moore-Bernard-Stevens amendment says we will be able to take care of the emergency situation for the next...for this current year and we'll come back and we'll look at it and we'll try to do the best we can with a budget that's going to be somewhere between 75 and \$150 million short next year because of Medicaid. And I think the half a loaf that's here is a real good half a loaf. And I think it would be...you know, I understand that you will try to get the whole loaf and I don't fault you for that. I don't fault you for that. But I also want you to know that it is in very good faith that I offer this amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Because I think it will help in that emergency situation. And I have concerns about the following year, you don't have concerns, but I think we both have good faith in trying to do things for both. And let's keep it on the same level of General Fund monies, how much do we want to commit and over what period of time. Senator Moore and Bernard-Stevens want to go ahead and put it...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...in the one year only and take care of it in the biennial budget next time. If this fails, then it will go for both, both years. And I suspect, Senator, (interruption).

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Bernard-Stevens, time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you.