

That is not necessarily true at all, and senators stating that position, it's wrong for them to state that position. It could be opened up at any time to private enterprise and there are many people, many private enterprise organizations that cater food in a restaurant business that would want to have some type of operation. It is also very possible that given a worst case scenario that the cafeteria would not operate in the same format in regards to vocational rehabilitation. Assuming that worst scenario would happen, it is also a possibility that a person that would come in on a private contract that would have a cafeteria would be able to come in on an agreement saying that they would hire graduates out of the vocational rehabilitation program and that's one of the things that we're desperately trying to do, not only provide training, but also provide employment and we may be able to move into different areas of providing monies for trainings on programs that already exist, but also creating a new program within the Statehouse in the cafeteria that would actually be able to help employment of these individuals that are going through and graduating from the vocational rehabilitation program. Those things need to be thought about, very much need to be thought about, but again going back to the bottom line, there is money appropriated. The Statehouse cafeteria is open, it has not closed. There is no guarantee that it is going to close. It may very well be in the same format. What the Appropriations Committee has been saying is that we understood there was a problem, we put monies toward that problem, but we're not going to bind us to a particular action which may, in fact, be the worst action that we could do. There may be other alternatives that help improve vocational education, that help provide better jobs for those that graduate through vocational education and, in actual, there could be other alternatives there that...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...in the long run may prove to be more valuable. And for the Legislature to micromanage, to put this intent language for all the good intentions in the world is bad policy when we throw out other options. That is not good government, that is not a role for the Legislature, though certainly within the power of the Legislature. I urge the body to go with what we had in the Appropriations Committee and then we look at all the monies that are available, we look at the best alternatives, we may have exactly what we have now, we may have something even better. But to say that this particular