

that works with them and teaches them are second to none. So I just thank you very much, Senator Dierks, for bringing this intent language and I'm glad that Appropriations could put enough money in there, and if we can keep it going till '93, maybe we have a hope of continuing. I don't like to see those people that are in training go out of the Capitol. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Madam President, members, I, too, rise in support of the Dierks amendment although I thought Senator Wehrbein did a nice job of explaining the thinking on the part of the Appropriations Committee. I, too, have watched the progress of those young people with disabilities over in the cafeteria, and although obviously it may not be the level of quality of restaurant that some of us would like to see in the building, I think the purpose it serves is one that is far more rewarding for all of us. And I think I'd feel comfortable with that. I have a follow-up amendment. This Vocational Rehab Division is having other concerns as well and I think raising this issue along with the amendment that will follow I hope will gain the attention that this Legislature is, in fact, watching what happens over there and does care about those people. But I do rise in support of the Dierks amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Bernard-Stevens, followed by Senators Haberman, Schimek, Wehrbein and Byars.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body, Senator Dierks, I appreciate and sympathize with the intent of your intent language. On the other hand I am going to oppose the motion at this particular point and I guess the Legislature is just going to have to decide on whether to go with the committee's judgment based on the hours of testimony that we heard, or try to do something off the floor based on what I would sense is a well-intended emotional response to a possible closing. And what the committee painfully had to do is to say that we have a problem in this particular area and so we funded it. There were funds made available, but we specifically stopped short of putting the intent language in there because, at least in my estimation, it was not totally clear that this, indeed, is where we need to end up with as the State of Nebraska. And there will be and needs to be and is going to be a very intense process of decision making on where are we going