

in the fiscal office itself. I have said before it is the oft misconception that the fiscal office staff actually works with the Appropriations Committee, many times they think it works with the committee chairman itself. That is not true. The fiscal office works for the entire body and we urge you to work with them to technically get your amendments drafted correctly and obviously that will be done in a confidential manner if you choose to do it that way until it is printed. And so we...just for correctness, if you would please run those amendments by the fiscal office staff and get them drafted correctly. Now actually as far as the committee budget in total, if you look at pages 11 through 17 in the turquoise sheet, 11 through 17 is a narrative that explains the major General Fund differences between the committee's recommendation and the Governor's recommendation, obviously starting with Medicaid and going on through there. There is a variety of differences. I won't go through those at this point in time, but I want to direct your attention, there are many questions about how is the committee's recommendation different than the Governor's recommendation on the General Fund side, those things are listed out in pages 11 through 17 in a narrative fashion, and once again on pages 23 through 27, where there's a difference, that is noted there and so I will be more than happy to answer any questions about anything, but that's pretty well explained there and I will not read it to you. Also, on pages 18 and 19 of the turquoise book is the major differences in the Cash Fund, Federal Fund and Revolving Fund side. Obviously the major differences in the Cash Fund side is a \$2.9 million increase for the WIC program. These are cash funds. Also, another difference, a major difference is the Mahoney Park difference, an increase of \$973,000 and \$1.1 million, those are Cash Funds supported by the park entry fee and the Game and Parks Cash Funds. The federal funds, obviously the major difference is a major decrease in federal funds for Medicaid. On page 11 you'll see the major increase on the General Fund side for Medicaid, and also in the Revolving Fund there are two major things I want to point out, \$700,000 appropriation for the Railway Council. This is a Cash Fund appropriation for loan. That is actually...was approved in LB 783 that was passed last year, but when the A bill failed to pass, the actual Cash Fund transfer did not take place. That does take place in our committee amendment. The second thing is a Revolving Fund appropriation. I want to specifically point this out. It includes \$178,000 increase and that's in postage expenses and also on page 19 there is a Revolving Fund increase, \$82,000 in '91-92, and \$335,000 in 1993, for design expenses.