

March 12, 1992

LB 829
LR 219

our votes in a positive manner. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Madam President, members. I rise to support the reconsideration motion. I look at the alternatives, what are the alternatives down the line. We know that the constitutional amendment will be on the ballot in November, if not in May. And so I don't see a lot of difference. I don't see a lot of difference. If the constitutional amendment is approved or disapproved in November the results are very similar to what happens if the constitutional amendment is approved or disapproved in May, in my opinion. So, I guess I don't understand the difference in those two scenarios. I...I...I can see various scenarios that might unfold under either situation. Some people are saying there could be a...another 829 for 1992, a temporary bill which would be for 1992 only. And I suppose that could happen whether we...whether the constitutional amendment is...is adopted or not adopted either in May or November. The problem is whether you can get the votes to do anything. If you don't get the votes to do anything, then, of course, everything is back on. So, the risks are there. I guess it's up to each individual to ascertain what proportion of risk he or she would want to assume in this situation. But, again just to repeat my earlier statement, I don't see a lot of difference as to whether we have the election in May, or the election in November. If we have it in May, we've got more time to deal with 1992 than we do in November. Maybe there's some other considerations I have not thought of, but it seems to me it doesn't make a lot of difference, and that going with May seems to be the logical choice at this time.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members. I rise again to oppose the reconsideration motion. The analogy that was given before lunch, that Senator Landis used, about the two individuals in the boat, both of them with shotguns, both of them arguing that one...if one didn't do what the other wanted they were going to blow a hole in the bottom and neither one of them could swim. The problem is the boat was only in four foot of water, and neither one of them are going to drown. The issue here is a \$100 million issue, whether it be personal property or real property, whether it be personal property or sales tax, whether it be personal property or income tax, whatever the