

never wrong to give the people a chance to vote on what they would like to see us do. I think it's pretty plain. First alternative is a constitutional amendment to exempt all tangible personal property from taxation except for motor vehicles, for and against, plain as day. Anybody can understand that. But alternative two is a little more complicated and the bill that we advanced last night, LB 1063, would be used to implement alternative two, but it's harder for people to understand that. So I think that Senator Moore has got a great idea. Then if the people vote both alternatives down, then they know that everything will go back on, and by everything I'm saying inventory, too, besides livestock and all livestock, all farm machinery, all business equipment, business inventory, farm inventory, everything goes back on and that's simple. I think that the people out there would understand that. So I say let's give them a choice. There was a little discussion this morning, well what happens if they both passed? Well I think we do have decisions that have been made already by the Supreme Court that says that the one would get the most votes would prevail. So that isn't too hard to understand. I think we could explain that to the people. So I would say right at this time that I certainly support the Moore amendment to this. I do think that we need to put a constitutional amendment out there to the people and I would rather have this than the original LR 219. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hefner. Senator Warner. Senator Warner. Senator Hall. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Madam Chairman and members, last night after we adjourned I gave a considerable amount of thought to what had taken place and did some reminiscing a bit and at the risk of sounding somewhat like a school teacher, I'm going to speak today in the manner in which one of my country school teachers taught me many years ago in a civics class. I have written these remarks down because I don't speak too well extemporaneously and so I'm going to read part of them, but way many years ago, more than 50 years ago in a civics class the issue we were studying was the Constitution and the teacher was one of these rather prim school marms that all of us older persons remember and are very familiar with. It was quite a different world back in those days. The one thing that I think she was right on then and we should be right on now was what she pounded into our heads about the Constitution. She said this is our most sacred document of government. Her name was Miss