

tongue in cheek in terms of the approach that it takes with some of the quotes that precede the various sections. I would urge you to run up to the Law Library upstairs and grab it and Xerox it off. You could...you could digest it over your lunch hour and just take a look at where we have been here and what, I think, others see, although it doesn't give a conclusion in terms of what we ought to do. It does show that...and probably more by the quotes that precede it. One of them, it starts out, and I was going to lay this on Senator Moore but I didn't think he would understand, once more into the breach, dear friends, once more, Senator Moore. But the...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...things that it talks about in terms of, you know, try, try again, and some of the other quotes that are in there. It says, you know, the Legislature is bound and determined to have a discriminatory tax policy. And that's what we're about. We're going to say that we're going to have a discriminatory tax policy. And, for my purposes, I've come to the ..to full around in terms of saying that all personal property being on is a better tax policy than where we're going. It clearly is. It is an option that we must explore, I think. And unless you allow the Moore amendment, I hope it's an option that we look at, that the voters have an option to look at in November of this year. I would urge adoption of the Moore amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, and members, could I ask Senator Moore a question?

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Moore.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Senator Moore, have we seen this proposal, alternative one, before?

SENATOR MOORE: No.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Was it in Revenue Committee at all? I mean, you didn't offer this as a proposal to Revenue Committee?

SENATOR MOORE: No.