

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR MOORE: I know Senator Lindsay, under his...the intent of this amendment, I assume the intent of the amendment they are trying to draft, it'll still allow you to exempt some personal property, correct?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I have come in on half of your speech. I will not speak for Senator Lindsay's intent, but I certainly think that the purpose that you have is to allow at least sometime in the future, if there are reasonable sorts of exemptions, that those will not be precluded, and I think that is a fundamental difference from what Senator Lindsay was trying to do last week.

SENATOR MOORE: It is different. Last week he said, no, never again. This week he is saying if you get 25 votes and have some rationality of reasonable, whether it be in the public good, whether people invest \$30 million, I mean...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator Moore...

SENATOR MOORE: ...the Legislature could continue to do in the name of public good further 75 exemptions, correct?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator, I have got to be...you are real fast and loose with definitions and terms, and I don't want to call you on that but you have got to be careful with what terms you throw in there. You just can't throw all those in because each one of those means something different, and if that is what you...

SENATOR MOORE: Let me rephrase the question, then...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR MOORE: ...and I won't be fast and loose with terms. This amendment would allow the Legislature to, let's say, say it is for the public good, if you invest \$30 million, you can get all your typewriters in your business exempt from personal property taxation.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: That would be under the lowest standard of review, that if there is any connection at all to what the Legislature wants to do, you can do it. Now Senator Lindsay's