

would raise based on sales figures for fertilizer. That's the number that we, that gave us the \$4.00 figure to raise the appropriate amount of money. When we did that we were looking at all kinds of fertilizer, not nitrogen based fertilizers. When we drafted it, the nitrogen got worked in there, that is not the sum total of fertilizer sales. Because the amount of nitrogen based fertilizer sales are less, it would not make a sufficient amount that we had predicted to be an appropriate number to apply here. You're correct that there is...there are a couple of other fiscal arrangements that need to be corrected and they can be in another form at another time, that's the one addressed here.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, David, what is the effect then also of the change in the retailer's fee in terms of the amount of money?

SENATOR LANDIS: The change in the retailer's fee, as Senator Rasmusser expressed, is to have no change in the total amount of money collected by the state or kept by the state. It is to change the apportionment as to who gets to keep how much of what they are collecting to allow smaller retailers to keep more of their retail collection fee and make the larger retailer surrender more of the amount that they collected. Total amount of money remains the same.

SENATOR HALL: So you're saying that the \$6.7 million doesn't change at all.

SENATOR LANDIS: The \$3.5 million doesn't change at all, that's right.

SENATOR HALL: Oh, that's right, it came in at a quarter.

SENATOR LANDIS: Exactly.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes, sir.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. The speaking order now is Senator Landis, Schmit, Elmer Rod Johnson, Nelson, Lamb, Moore, Coordsen, Beutle, Morrissey and Hefner. Senator Landis. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Madam Chairman and members, it was my