

no big surprise if they did,...

SENATOR HALL: Nor for this body, for that matter, but...

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, but then by using the Tax Commissioner, we do not automatically have that imposed upon us, that was in response to the Attorney General's letter in part.

SENATOR HALL: Right, but there is nothing in the bill, my point is there is nothing in the bill to keep this body from imposing depreciation or placing those broilers on that table, since we have gone to our own table, is that right? If this amendment were reversed, in other words to add broilers to that table, is that possible?

SENATOR WARNER: Is it possible, Senator?

SENATOR HALL: Sure.

SENATOR WARNER: Conceivably it is possible, the bill, as 1063 is specifically written with the reference to federal guideline, it is the intent and the purpose of the bill being written that way that future Legislatures would not try to do what you are suggesting. As we all know, one legislature cannot control another, and there is no, you know, if somebody wants me to guarantee that no legislature will ever be enacted ever, ever again, I can't prove that.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Warner, would you...could you tell me one other thing. As the bill is specifically written, is there a definition of what farm equipment is, for purposes of the sales tax exemption?

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, it applies, let me get the reference, but it would be same that is used now in either property tax or sales tax application. If you give me a little time to get it, I'll...

SENATOR HALL: I would appreciate that because I notice...I know that, I remember this from this morning, and it is the point that Senator Schmit brought up, you were talking to someone and they mentioned, I think it was Senator Hefner, they mentioned farm machinery as being exempt, and you said farm equipment and clarified their statement. And I am curious to know what that definition is. I have been looking through the AM5267, which