

March 10, 1992

LB 1063

'92, even with it being on the ballot in May, assuming it can get there, there is a real problem about can that work, can everything administratively work out in the countryside yet for tax year '92, coupled with the fact I am of the opinion, and I will have a motion at the proper time, is that 1063 will have to be reenacted some time in between May 12th and June 1. If you pass 1063,...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR MOORE: ...if you pass the constitutional amendment, to make sure that it is going to work, and assuming that it does pass, let's assume for a moment, which I think is another big assumption, which may not be true, if the voters do pass it in May, this body will have to come back in in between May 12th and June 1 to reenact 1063 to make sure it is going to work, and I think those of you, if you are going to plan any trips in between that time, you'd better be careful, because I think even supporters of 1063 at that time are going to say, well, to make sure, let's come back in for seven days in May. So I'll look forward to seeing you then if all this stuff passes.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Schmit, you are next.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Someone said once, if you don't learn from experience, you have to repeat it, and I know that some of you do not like to have me repeat on this floor that when I consider this bill to be a step backward, and reverse the direction of our tax policy, and the changing of a tax policy which can be made to work, to the acceptance of a philosophy that is totally different, you don't like to hear that. Well, ladies and gentlemen, talking with one of my newsmen friends over the lunch hour, I have a couple, we were discussing what was going on, and we discussed briefly what the disagreement was all about. Was personal property per se to be returning to the tax rolls that much of a problem with me? Very frankly, it is. I think it is bad tax policy. But I would rather see every item of personal property in the State of Nebraska, or even this, I'd rather see every item of farm equipment and livestock back on the tax rolls and not have the flexibility that is involved in the ag land valuation in the Constitution. I hope that my rural friends will take a look at this, not the guys and gals on the floor, not you folks, and I don't mean to be disrespectful, I hope my rural friends out in the country will take a look. I hope that