

containing in those bills a dollar amount that certainly agriculture paid. As I have said time and time again, I, myself, am willing to pay that bill for good sound tax policy. The problem with 1063 is in the fact, that as I said before, it has no mirror any place else in the country. We don't know if it works. We don't know if there is a lot of problems with it. It is like Senator Withem, I know it has not been cooked up over night, but it has been cooked up in the last six months to try and find a compromise, try and find a middle ground, because, one, people aren't really willing to put everything back on the tax roll, and, two, many people are not willing to take the things off. I just think that it is a drastic failed attempt at doing that. It is not going to work. It doesn't work. It is not good tax policy, and as far as from the ag perspective, what burns in my heart is the fact that, as I have said time and time again, I mean take the numbers, throw them out, throw them away, put them in a drawer, talk about the policy and what it does. What does 1063 do as a matter of tax policy? There is only one sector that goes back on the tax rolls. That is the ag sector, Senator Warner. The business sector, after a one year hiatus, 1991, paid taxes the last 20 years. Regardless of the numbers, the ag sector is the only one from a tax policy perspective who goes back on the tax rolls. They do that for me plus there are many people in here that, once again ignoring anything about tax policy, that a bench mark because agriculture must pay X million dollars before we will vote for a constitutional amendment. Now it is things like that that have nothing to do with tax policy. It has a lot to do with convenience. It has a lot to do with the ability to box people in the corner at this time. It has a lot to do with supposedly, I don't know, getting even after so many years of those farmers enjoying those exemptions and you finally are going to pay some more, but none of those things have anything to do with creating and maintaining sound tax policy all of us can defend. Sure, you can go back in your district and defend things in 1063 and say, well, hey, it could have been a lot worse. Even though in our dialogue, time and time again, what...a lot worse does...is not the only other option out there. The option of exempting it all has been, could be, I predict still will be, regardless to what happens with 1063, regardless of what happens with the constitutional amendment, and the next year in 1992, the only thing you will really do is exempt all property from taxation, because administratively it is going to be impossible to make 1063 work. And it is one of those things that I...1063, I have said time and time again, is that if you want to make it work for tax year