

March 10, 1992

LB 1063

successful. So...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...in all honesty, I don't know at this point...I can tell you some things I wouldn't want to do. I would not want to shift it all to ag...to real estate. I...I'd have...

SENATOR MOORE: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: ...and I'm very reluctant to do borrowing authority to local government. That kind of leaves me with some kind of reimbursement which is...

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I agree with the third option.

SENATOR WARNER: ...very painful for me too, Senator.

SENATOR MOORE: I would agree, Senator Warner, that the option of rolling it all back is one that we should not consider, trying to compress it the last week. The option of forcing local government to borrow is we're not...we really have two options. Some people would have MAPCO run its course in '92 or to exempt for '92. And though that's not a...not something we want to talk about, it's one of those things there are many people in this body that do not like the constitutional amendment and we need to understand what all the options are before this body of what many people, not so much yourself, but if it's...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR MOORE: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Madam President, and members, the points Senator Moore is trying to make are very valid, or has made, not trying to make, the points he has made are very valid. If you've got 40 votes for the CA right now, I guess don't worry about it. But if you don't have 40 votes for the CA right now, you better worry about it, because what you're proposing is a potential chaos that you're claiming that you want to avoid by everything going back on, or implementing a system that we

10223