

drives one business out of the state to the benefit of another? I don't think so. My greatest discomfort with this bill is the reflection upon numbers, the fact that agriculture takes a lesser hit this way. All of us know that those numbers will never hold up. I've stood at this microphone and questioned Senator Vard Johnson about the fiscal impact of 773. And, as I recall, he said, Senator Schmit, it will raise between five and seven million dollars, just enough to take care of the impact of 775. Well, ladies and gentlemen, that wasn't what happened. Senator Vard Johnson, the fiscal staff, the Department of Revenue missed it by millions and millions of dollars. And so then we said, well, we really didn't mean it, we will return that. Well, we didn't admit it right away, and that cost some political careers, but we did then come back and try to change the statute to return some of that money, not all of it. We sent back a little. But we did not listen to those who said these numbers are not accurate. When 1059 was being debated there were those on this floor who didn't like the numbers. Some of the Lincoln legislators protested, saying it wasn't fair to the City of Lincoln. Others said this is the best you can do. Again, today probably I have to concede that on a basis of equity Lincoln did not get treated correctly. Whether that will be corrected or not, I don't know. But there is a significant increase in real estate taxes because Lincoln did not benefit from 1059 as they had hoped. No one knows today, when you talk about the adverse impact upon agriculture, there is a handout from Senator Haberman which says that the Aurora Public Schools will lose \$43,500 as a result of 1063. Bellwood will lose 11,000, rather significant amount of money. The point that I wanted to make about this is we know the state aid to schools will increase in years to come, so these losses here today are minimal losses. These losses reflect the increased valuation that will be the result of the reimposition of the tax on personal property and will cost this amount of money. I do not know what the impact will be nation...statewide, it's probably here, I've not read it, but no one talks about that loss. No one talks about what happens if we increase the state aid by another \$250 million and then decide that that isn't enough, that will double and triple these figures. So the numbers that we work with today, even if they are accurate as of now, and I do not accuse anyone of using inaccurate numbers, are accurate only as of the time and moment and place, and we all recognize that the Legislature is a very fluid place, a place which never, ever remains stagnant or dormant. I would suggest that no one talks about the inequity of returning to the tax rolls certain