

sales taxes, probably few if any of those, except for maybe lobbying fees that ag might pay, would be...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I didn't realize that lobbying, or that ag groups had any lobbying.

SENATOR HALL: I won't touch that, Senator Schellpeper, but then you go down to the appreciation add back and that would be a portion in there, but it would be a small portion of that that ag would pay, but I would guess it would be approximately \$10 million and that's just a ball park guess on my part from ag, and George nods, which means it's probably a pretty good figure, so you've got individuals paying approximately ten, ag paying approximately ten and that means the balance of roughly \$70 million would be picked up in the business community.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: You bet.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, this is really a different concept. I think it's something that we need to take a look at. I need to have a few more figures to know exactly where the sales tax on services is going to be coming from, but I think this is a good concept. It's something that we need to take a look at. If homeowners, if their taxes are going to go down, they will support this concept and that's what worries me, I guess, about the CA under 1063 because I don't think they're going to approve that. If your taxes are going to go up in your home, 50 or \$75, you're not going to approve the CA. So I think that this is a concept that we need to take a little more look at. I think we should study it over the evening and take a lot better look at it because there are some things in here, I haven't seen it before, but I think it's a concept that we can really buy into that will be acceptable not just to this body, but also to the voters and to the people of Nebraska. So, with that, I support this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Morrissey, you're next.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I rise to support Senator Hall's amendment to LB 1063, basically because I think we should move to the position of taxing no personal property because I think it gets us out of our problem