

SENATOR WARNER: Not that I'm aware of. There was a question early on in my mind, because I had understood that they may not be able to...to...counties may not be able to refund those monies, if everything works out with the constitutional amendment. It's now my understanding that, at least I know of some counties where the county officials have been advised by their county attorneys that they would be able to make the refund, obviously, it would not be with interest, but they would be able to make the refund, if...if...if the constitutional amendment is adopted as it now stands.

SENATOR MOORE: That would be permissive, they would not have to, but they would be allowed to?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, I...there's no prohibition that I'm aware of.

SENATOR MOORE: But...but, I mean,...

SENATOR WARNER: I don't...

SENATOR MOORE: ...I'm like Senator...

SENATOR WARNER: ...the tax...Let me answer it this way, Senator Moore, if 1060...if the constitutional amendment passes and 1063 passes, there is no tax due at the ad valorem basis in...by law at that point, and then you would have a tax that would have been collected under...under a system that didn't exist for this year. And I would...under those system...it should all be refundable. But I...my understanding has been that most people have been...most counties, if...I don't know about all, obviously. But I...it's been my understanding that most counties have told people that...that if we get this all squared around, those...that accelerated personal property tax will be refunded.

SENATOR MOORE: I guess...I don't know if Senator Moore's amendment is drafted technically, but I...but if...if...if the amendment would say counties shall pay that back, would you be opposed to that, Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: It's probably like the c > I just had on irrigation, Senator Moore, that caused a lot of track. That was just repeating what the law already is, so it's probably best not to put it in because it creates a lot of confusion.