

going to...however, we designate the use of water in the orifices, whatever it might be, to use that water on the land through things that are attached to the land, I think we should make sure that we understand the difference. And I would hate to see a lawsuit develop over somebody that has a multithousand dollars worth of sprinkler system in a company yard, or lawn, or even a nursery, for example, wherever it might be, and someone who simply has that same kind of fixture and facility out in the country. I think we have to be very careful here. I think we have to clearly define the difference, but, on the other hand, where does the difference really begin and where does it end? I certainly don't want to give the impression this is an urban-rural problem, because it really isn't. But, in a sense, I hope you can see, by the way I at least explained it from my urban point of view, that, in fact, it is. We have to consider then some kind of an amendment on this, if it's to be successful. And I'll get to work on that right away.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Hall, you're next.

SENATOR HALL: Pass.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Kristensen, you're next.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Hall, ...no, not Senator Hall, I'm sorry. You were the last one to speak. Senator Moore, I want to address your question here a little bit, and your problem with it. Just from a matter of practicality sake, if you don't have the Warner amendment, and I believe that the Warner amendment is what current law is, there is the tendency for people to want to value agriculture land with the pivot on it, and so that would increase their pers...or their real property taxes and, at the same time, turn around and then tax the full value of that pivot for personal property. That, obviously, is incorrect. It's either...it's either got to be on the value of the land, or it's got to be the value of the pivot itself. Senator Warner's amendment merely makes sure that that is not going to be taxed twice. And it makes good sense. The other argument Senator Warner makes, of course, is that the pivot itself makes the difference, when there's a lot of ground, that you can go out and set the pivot on the ground, and if there's not any water out there it's not going to do any good, just going to get in the way. And so Senator Warner's amendment, I think, is