

positive.

SENATOR SCHMIT: The well and the pump.

SENATOR WARNER: I believe that that's correct, but let me check to be sure because I certainly would not want to either inform you incorrectly, or this body.

SENATOR SCHMIT: All right.

SENATOR WARNER: So I will check, if you'll give me a moment.

SENATOR SCHMIT: And could you, while you're checking it, would you also check, Senator Warner, on whether the gearhead is personal property or if it goes with the pump, and if it is an electric motor that is sitting on the pump, does that become a part of the real estate, or is it personal property? Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schmit. Senator Lynch, you're next.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. Speaker and members, I was fairly silent on all these issues, but then when you started talking about water running through pipes, I felt like I had some idea what you were discussing here. You know, I'm not quite sure what's personal property and isn't. But it's my understanding that if something is attached to the ground, that's real property. Now there are a number of people who have homes in Omaha, and I know a number of companies who have sprinkled lawns in front of their offices. I can't see where there would be a difference between the use of the water or, in fact, where the water emanated from, whether it was a water main in the city, or a well in the country. If, in fact, we're considering as personal property a sprinkling system for the land, then I would think that there should be some uniformity there. And when we discuss this amendment we should consider either now, I guess we'll have to do it now, it's on Select File, an amendment that would consider the same kind of exemption for personal property purposes for all those companies, some which have multithousands of dollars worth of sprinkling systems. I hate to throw in that when you flush it in the house, what's the difference either? You're simply using water to a fixture that's attached to a piece of real property, that apparently, in some cases, is considered real, and in some cases is considered personal. I would also agree that in no case should they be taxed twice. But, certainly, if we're not