

March 9, 1992

LB 1063

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Anyone else wishing to discuss the amendment offered by Senator Lamb? Seeing no one, Senator Lamb, do you wish to close?

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Another argument in support of this is that the people who approved bond issues in 1991 really did so under the assumption that this exemption would exist. And it seems unfair to remove it after the fact. I might read a list of the schools that are affected. Senator Warner has already mentioned that Waverly Public School has a pending bond issue. Schools with successful bond issues in '90-91 include Centennial, Cambridge, Elm Creek, Osceola, Overton, and there are two schools opening new buildings in 1992-93, one is Norfolk, and what do you know, the other one is Anselmo-Myrna. I would ask that this amendment be adopted.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard Senator Lamb's closing on his amendment. We will now vote on the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. We are voting on the Lamb amendment to LB 1063. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 10 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Senator Warner would move to amend, Mr. President. (Warner amendment may be found on page 1196 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is the amendment that...that I believe is already the law, near as I can be informed. But there are some who have a concern that it's not clear, or some area, someplace, some county might make an error. The purpose of the amendment is to make it clear that the value of a pivot, on irrigated land, is not a part of the value of the land, that...that the pivot is personal property, and does not become a factor in determining land value. The way it is implemented now, through the Department of Revenue, the value of irrigated land is by virtue of the well, not the pivot. And this is simply to make it clear that the existence of the pivot itself is not what causes land to be