

have to file their entire depreciation worksheet with every county assessor they own land in. It's things like this that are just going to make that...that...that hue and cry that's going to be out there about a year from now or...I don't know if it's actually a year from now, it's now probably less than that. It's going to make people very, very upset. They need to understand that and Senator Warner's absolutely correct, the bill had to be changed. The green copy is no longer valid, working on a new state depreciation schedule, but it markedly changed who was affected by this bill. Not accusing Senator Warner and the supporters of 1063 of doing anything underhanded whatsoever, but just so people need to understand it and Senator Wickersham's amendment has brought that to light. Secondly, the whole issue of the 775 exemptions, and that's one of those things, in my opinion, that's the biggest wart in this whole bill, this whole process, is that 775 exemption. Is it, yes, we're going to have uniform depreciation schedule for everyone, but we're not going to treat everybody the same that's on that uniform depreciation schedule. That's what we voted to do this morning. Now when you do that, that moves from a wart to a full body blemish, in my opinion. I mean it just...it's that...the principle you're embodying when you do that really causes me a great deal of concern and Senator Wickersham's amendment, though, as I've said, I prefer if you're going to go down this route, you at least, to make for your own cause to be able to defend it you treat everybody the same. We decided not to this morning. Granted, Senator Wickersham's amendment is different than 775 in some ways, but as Senator Ashford mentioned, you know, is there a shift in this amendment? Yes, there is as there's a 4.1 million dollar shift in the amendment we failed to adopt this morning. LB 270 is there for small businesses. LB 270 does not affect personal property, you know, and... 'cause they have the same... 'cause they have the same qualifying things as federal law. Senator Wickersham's amendment does not. Those things...you could put some qualifying things in there, I think that...you could easily overcome that. Are they performance-based? No, they're not. There's a difference there, that's absolutely correct, that's the only thing that is different is that one. LB 775 ones are performance-based and I understand that, but it's just one of those things. A couple of weeks ago there was a great deal made about how the little guy had a great victory when the sales and income tax was defeated by this body, but here it is, I don't know, here's something for the little guy, maybe for some of the wrong reasons, but the big guy was taken care of this morning. When