

opinion, as to if this body is going to repeal exemptions, look at page 82 of the amendment handed out this morning. Look at page 82. You look on page 82 and it's 77-202, look at page 82, starting on line 4, those exemptions for feed, fertilizer, farm inventory are gone, 1062 strikes those. Subsection 9, grain, seed and livestock, poultry, fish, honey bees, fur bearing animals, that exemption is gone. That's the action you take in this bill, 8 and 9 are gone. What's 10? Any personal property exempt pursuant to 77-4105 which is 775. So the people of this body are going to go out in the public and say those exemptions now in number 8, feed, fertilizer, farm machinery, those exemptions are gone. We're going to return them onto the tax rolls under this partial formula, I'll grant it will be that, but Section 10, that is too sacred to touch. I don't want to touch it either, but how on earth do you argue uniformity and fairness, whether or not it's a legal argument or not, I think Senator Warner hit the nail on the head. He gave all sorts of legal argument. You may have heard him say if you're talking fairness and equity, I don't know how you defend that. And I didn't see anybody in this body even try and defend that, and that's what offends me so much. You say you have to put breeding livestock on. You say you have to put farm machinery on in the name of fairness and broadening the tax base and all that, while, at the same time, you're changing the Constitution to allow you to continue 775 exemptions and, at the same time, while you take some people's exemptions away, you constitutionally protect others and that's what drives me up the tree and continues to wonder why this body is doing what it's doing and I don't know how on earth I, in good conscience, can go out and say to people, yes, change the Constitution because of this. I don't know how this body, they certainly made no attempt when they had the opportunity, to defend the fairness and equity of doing this, they just failed. They just totally...they just gave a total argument about the good of 775 and I agree with Senator Byars, it's unfortunate it has to be brought up like this, but who is the one that brought it up? Who is the one that said...who was the entity that said we're going to put things back on the tax rolls? It wasn't me. It wasn't the farm sector that said that. On the other hand, if you're going to reimpose the tax on the farm sector, what's good for the goose is good for the gander. Let's reimpose it, treat everybody the same, everybody that depreciates property shall go back on the tax rolls. And Senator Warner stated that the constitutional amendment says you have one uniform depreciation schedule, but he didn't say you're going to treat everybody that