

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, but you're going to have to help me here. At the present time the first hearing is not...there's not a record made...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...at the first hearing, but there can be at the second.

SENATOR COORDSEN: There is at the second.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: There is at the second, I should say, pardon me. I don't understand why switching those two around causes this increase and if indeed you retain the second hearing, doesn't that...isn't that the same difference? Would you explain why that fiscal note is there, please, if you can?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Since you're looking at what is the fiscal note, the dollar amount?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: \$139,520.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well I've spent so much time on the bill, Senator Schimek, I haven't spent much time on the fiscal note.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Could we spend some time between now and Select File and find out a little bit more about that fiscal note maybe?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: There are no further lights, Senator Coordsen, do you wish to close?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Only to indicate again to restate that this bill is not in its final form in accord with all of the people who have spoken. I would not support this bill throughout the system as it's currently written because my interest is in seeing that those people who are being held up on appeal throughout the system, that it worked better for them and that justice is served more readily. The current form of the bill simply provides for a, if the committee amendment is adopted, provides for a pretrial conference, a one judge hearing of