

March 5, 1992

LB 1063
LR 219

SENATOR MOORE: Okay, Senator Warner? Now, I'll give Senator Warner the historical opportunity to answer that question, as far as, I mean, can you cite me examples of what we've done, something remotely similar to this? I'm asking it because I don't know if it occurred in the last year.

SENATOR WARNER: In the Constitution?

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, we did the year...a year ago with a constitutional amendment that you may have voted for relative to expanding the gambling. I don't know if it's going to stand up, Senator, but we...it's comparable, let me retract that, as you recall, we passed a law on gambling, indicating how it was going to be implemented and if the constitutional amendment is approved in November, then the implementing law is already there.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, that one I didn't vote...I mean, I did vote against that one and that one...that language reads...

SENATOR WARNER: It's a recent phenomena, Senator.

SENATOR MOORE: Same phenomena, but am I right that that language in that bill said it does not become effective until after the act...

SENATOR WARNER: Right.

SENATOR MOORE: ...where some of the things...am I wrong on some of the things in LB 1063? I mean, it's...

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Moore, excuse me, if I understood your question, if anything had been done comparable before, it's not precisely the same but we did enact implementing language that was portrayed to need a constitutional amendment to become implemented. I think the effective date for it is after the constitutional amendment but the theory was that the public knew how that constitutional amendment was going to be implemented, at least that's what I recall. You were here too so you may recall it differently.

SENATOR MOORE: And I guess the reason I did not...can you think of one other than that?