

March 5, 1992

LB 1063

LR 219

one of the reasons.

SENATOR WARNER: And then this, no, you still have a number of particularly household goods and personal effects, as well as, I believe, some of the other religious and educational are permissive, and so in order that you would not negate those exemptions as they currently exist, it would allow by legislation provided the Constitution makes it permissive.

SENATOR DIERKS: You don't feel like this leaves a loophole in there that allows for legislation down the road that might be onerous?

SENATOR WARNER: Not beyond what the Constitution provides to be permissive exemptions.

SENATOR DIERKS: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Moore, you are next.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Mr. Speaker and members, as I was mentioning in my last dialogue, I guess I have a little problem with the last phrase of this portion of the amendment which contains that uniformity and proportionate language because I think it is a little in error for this body to put before the people an amendment that has that language there, when the real thrust of the amendment is to allow us to get away from that under the class of personal property. And, you know, I know most, probably the majority of Nebraskans, do not read a constitutional amendment. They only read the ballot language. I guess I understand why it is there. If all else fails, we will go back to that, but I think it sends at least a signal that this body is still going to have some uniformity on how it treats personal property taxes, when LB 1063 by itself, obviously, ignores that. And that is the biggest problem I have with the constitutional amendment is that you bastardize the uniformity clause to allow personal property to not be treated uniformly even within the class and, obviously, we are speaking of, and I always...I almost hesitate to refer to them as the 775 exemptions because as I have said before, I support that bill, I will defend that bill. I think it is unfortunate we have to be talking about it. But I am not the one that chose to talk about it. It is the people that put...I mean it is the 3-R Committee, the Nelson administration that put before us a plan that said we