

I am being consistent, I have the kill motion on 1120 as well. The argument that 1120 didn't have the votes centered around the fact that well, we haven't debated 1063, and we're going to get to that. And many people said, well, we want to listen to those arguments as well. What happened was that many of the folks who were supporting the 1120 concept said, well, it looks like 1120 isn't going anywhere. Hopefully, they went home and got a little starch in their backbone, as Senator Schmit likes to say from time to time, over the weekend and came back and said, look, we don't have to have tax on personal property, matter of fact it's not even good policy, that we should be looking... I agree, I don't think 1120 is the greatest piece of legislation that ever came out of the Revenue Committee either. But the fact of the matter is it came out of the committee, it came out of the committee after a long, hot summer, after much debate, and then we had 1063 that was brought from the committee, and that's fine. Had I been here I would have voted to bring it out of committee, as the Speaker told you when that happened. The fact of the matter is we haven't addressed the bigger issue, and that's the real problem, I think, in terms of the polls. I think the people will say really all you're doing is, as they've said before, is patching a system that's not any good to begin with, and you need to can the whole system. At least 1120 starts on that road, where 1063 does not. And, Senator Warner, I know that these numbers are a range, but I guess when is the last time you had it handed out where somebody left the front page on, huh? That's my question, when was the last time they got handed out with the front page? I could have tore it off and handed it out. And, yeah, they're a range, in my neighborhood the range stinks, the range is no good. And in my neighborhood happens to include Omaha, it happens to include Lincoln, Beatrice, Grand Island. Are those places where people are going to go to the polls? You think if their taxes, their property taxes are going to go up that they're going to vote for it? You think the numbers can be tailored down any better? No. Are the assumptions the best way we can look at it? Absolutely, by your own word that's right. This is not the answer, ladies and gentlemen. And to purport that it is, that the people are going to support this, first of all after looking at the bill, and then looking at what the constitutional amendment does or requires be done, they're going to vote no, they're going to vote no. And the alternative is far and away better, it's far and away better for all those people that happen to be in the range that I'm talking about, in terms of what happens. And, yeah, maybe it does hit agriculture, but so did 1120, and it