

1063, they are increase...or decrease in state...they would get no increase in state aid. The difference is, however, that under 1063 that increased valuation, those taxes that they are paying will stay in that local school district. Under 1120, if you have a broad tax base, the school district not receiving equalization aid still doesn't get any more money, but the individual has sent their sales and income tax to Lincoln to be distributed to other school districts. It's also true that when the urban areas, and they did, voted for the constitutional amendment that permitted ag land to be valued at less than full market value, that had the impact of taking money away from urban areas because of the formula in 1059, the decrease in valuation would qualify a school district to receive more state aid, assuming they were getting equalization aid. So there...it works...there are trade-offs, both ways, that there is a sense of equity there. My other comment was to be made on the distribution, because comments have been made that 1063 some how or other will lose aid, the same criteria could be true if they do not receive equalization under 1120, as I've also...already pointed out. To raise the issue of the uniformity clause essentially is a false argument, unless, unless you believe that all property can be exempt now without a constitutional amendment. And I know some of you do. I do not share that viewpoint. In my opinion that is not how it will be. If you do not have a constitutional amendment, I suspect, and we'll find out under 829, I suspect that...that we will find out that that provision of the uniformity clause does not permit the total exemption of all personal property. The issue that people raise, that it's more fair for everybody to pay, and that's a comment you hear across the state from citizens, that if everybody pays that's fair. Therefore an increase in sales and income tax is fair. What's not fair in the eyes of the voters, though, is that they're paying additional sales and income tax to relieve business equipment of \$100 million of taxes that would have been the case prior to 829. That's the increase, and they will not view it as fair, that they pay to take that amount of tax prior to 829 all of business, and in those reasons I do not believe that those who believe the tax that everybody pays is fair, but it's...in order to relieve business of significant amounts. Finally, I just want to point out, and I appreciate the sheets that Senator Hall has passed out from the fiscal office showing the impact in different counties. As is always true of these kind of handouts, don't run with the numbers. Look at the conditions on the first page, they are significant as to how the impact of this...