

know, folks, I guess I'd like to have somebody, and maybe it should be Senator Warner respond to a question on whether or not with the passage of this bill and the passage of the constitutional amendment, if we don't have to come back into session and recodify some of the provisions in this bill, that that isn't something that's awaiting us once 1063 would be passed and the constitutional amendment would be passed by the people, if we don't have to come back in or is it...are we able to retroactively predicated on the passage of a Constitution, past legislation? I haven't heard much discussion on that and I'd be curious as to what that answer may be.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body, I rise simple to make a clarification. I was going to make some comments, Senator Withem and Senator Landis, Senator Warner have basically made the comments I would have made, but, Senator Hall, very rarely will I try to correct a distinguished Chairman of the Revenue Committee, but the LB 1120 that you refer to is not in the form that you have led the body to believe just a few minutes ago. If you remember, you are correct, those things were stripped and then the committee amendments were not adopted. But just before we IPP'd or laid it over or, and I think we just laid it over and brought up 1063 at some point, if the body will remember there was an Ashford amendment that was divided and the first part of the Ashford amendment which reads as following, sales and use taxes shall not be imposed on the gross receipts from the sale, lease or rental of and the storage, use or other consumption in this state of business or farm machinery and equipment, that which was FA278 was agreed to. No, I'm just making a statement. Senator Hall, I'll go ahead and yield you some time. (Senator Hall speaking but not at mike.) I understand that, Senator Hall, and that's fine but when we're going basically the implication was the bill as in its original form and it is not. There is a \$78 million gap in LB 1120 and we need to make sure and recognize that fact on that amendment, not that it won't be clarified later on if we get to it later on, but that is the situation of 1120 at this point on General File. One of the comments that I was going to make, and I want to reiterate and I'll give the rest of the time then to Senator Warner so he can answer the question if he so desires of Senator Hall, or use the time as he deems necessary, one of the major reasons that I, and