

1063 before us with what appears to be a slim majority of this body, feeling as though they can support it. What its advancement and what its choice by the body will do to the support for the constitutional amendment, I can't predict. At this point we ought not to be using that as our determining factor. At this point 1063 is the only entity that has shown itself to have any type of a coalition coming together behind it. It's not unanimous, a lot of people don't like it, but it's the best approach that we have at this time and I would urge...and I say best of the three alternatives doing nothing, repealing personal property and putting on replacement revenues, nobody seems to want to do the former.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: We've proven that we can't do the latter, 1063 is what's remaining, I would urge you not to indefinitely postpone.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President. I rise again in support of the motion to indefinitely postpone and I want to speak to some of the comments that were made. The issue of personal property, everyone, every single individual in this state owns personal property and the problem with the system has been from day one is that we tax some of it and other portions of it we don't tax. And the whole question around the court case in a nutshell is that we didn't tax enough of it. We couldn't tax enough of it to appease the court and under net book value you're not going to tax enough either to appease the court. That's my argument plain and simple. It just isn't going to be there. Senator Warner and Senator Withem say let's compare the two. Senator Withem, I think you need to take another look at 1120 because it sits on General File approximately with \$100 million in it. If you remember the things that were taken out of the amendment, we went back to the green copy and it sits with \$100 million in it, probably because you haven't had time to offer the amendments to strip it out, but that's where it currently sits on General File. The issue that Senator Warner argued about 1120 is will people go to the polls and vote for something that taxes everybody? Imagine that! A tax policy as novel as that, that taxes everybody. God, I mean if that isn't unique for a state like Nebraska, to have a tax policy out there that says, yeah, everybody has to