

position of honesty and say you're willing to compromise and the other side doesn't do that or they pretend their original position is a compromise, I have experienced that and I don't like it and I might not accept it, because I have never come out on top on that. And it's too bad because, being honest...I was honestly trying to be open about the process and I thought we could save time and a little divisiveness doing it that way. But my history and my experience tells me that when you fully understand that the other side isn't playing the same way that you never win and you can't win. So I'm beginning to wait and see what the State Chamber and all the people that support...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: ...support the Governor's plan are willing to say when there aren't ten people to support their constitutional amendment and everything will go back on. I'm willing to find out and I want to hear it, and the way we're going now you won't hear it because they think they're the winners already. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Madam President and members of the body, I'm a little concerned, on page 5, line 10, which states, such property had not been required to be reported in previous years or that...to me, this means that property that was not reported last year cannot be assessed and taxed. Now I've had a lot of phone calls, some assessors, some county boards are saying you have till the 31st of March; some are saying you do not have till the 31st of March. But the law, as it presently stands, reads, if it was not listed or taxed in the previous years, it's not to be so this year. And this...this...I understand from Senator Warner, he explained to me that it means that the county board can exempt them. Well, then I'll have to wait for Senator Warner to explain it again. However, to take a further step, the 50-cent...percent tax is due on the interest is due...and interest is due both on the tax and the penalty. Well, that's going a little bit too far. They're charging the interest on the tax and they're charging interest on the penalty. That's a double whammy. That's not fair. The section is confusing. It's going to be interpreted in different ways, then the attorneys we have in the body can all stand up and they will interpret what it says in a different way. So I would suggest