

wrong way to deal with this situation. I honestly asked the first week of the debate to allow two different proposals to be offered and two different proposals to be built by their proponents to let them stand on their own merit later on in the process. And I honestly mentioned at the time that I would...was willing to talk and I thought we could come to...possibly come to an agreement through that discussion. But, again, the debate today has sort of turned me around, and it's not necessarily that the senators that don't agree with my line of thinking on the personal property tax problem aren't trying to do what's right for their constituents, it seems to be the fact that they don't understand what I'm saying and other rural senators are saying. Not only do you not necessarily understand, you don't believe. You don't believe. Again, I will refer to Senator Haberman's references to rural folks paying property taxes on their homesteads. They do that. We all do that. There is a big difference between living in the city, living in the country. One of the many...or many of the differences is, is that it's a better place to live, it's better for you mentally and physically. And maybe we should, because of that benefit, maybe we should just lie down on this issue and accept this compromise amendment. But I don't think so and I'm beginning to think I'm dealing directly in the Business and Labor Committee with the State Chamber of Commerce, more and more all the time, or possibly the railroads when...on our most recent contract proposal. Because when you deal with them and you come from a position, you start on a position of honesty and willingness to accept compromise, you usually get nailed. You could start towards the middle and be open and honest and tell them what you are willing to do and that works great if they do the same thing. But for people in the urban areas to pretend that only the people in the rural areas are going to be the ones that suffer, if we do nothing, is wrong. Again, I'm ready to back up and start over on an even footing. This is not compromise. This is not a compromise. This is not a compromise. I know some of you said 1063, in its original form, was a compromise, but it wasn't. It wasn't. So maybe I'm moving towards what I told my constituents I didn't think I would let happen and that is to let everything go back on, because I really think we need to,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: ...even though we're running out of time, we need to start over because I have gone through this enough in negotiations of the past to realize that when you come from a