

Senator Warner has for us in 1063 is a system that would use a state depreciated table, and I guess the argument is is that automatically there will be 100 percent of compliance to that, that state table, as it relates to depreciable property, that folks are just going to, even though presently under the old system, the ad valorem system, it has been shown out, proved that compliance was somewhere between 30 and 40 percent. So, roughly, 60 to 70 percent of the personal property was either undervalued or not listed for purposes of taxation. Under this new method, the net book value approach, I guess there is going to be some magic that occurs that requires folks to comply and, granted, in the bill, in the amendment, there are provisions to allow the assessor to subpoena the worksheet. We don't, in the amendment, require the worksheet to be part of the filing. We don't do anything as it relates to additional monies set aside for compliance on the part of the assessors. As a matter of fact, there is a zero percent lid that is built in, but yet we are going to ask the assessors to audit the personal property tax portion, a new tax system under net book value with a new state depreciated table, depreciation table, and we are going to do that with a zero percent lid on local government, so that that compliance factor, that audit factor will have to be picked up from some portion of the budget within the assessors office, I guess, is going to have to be shifted over there. Something is going to have to be let go, something is going to have to go without being done in order to raise the revenue necessary to comply with the net book value approach. All I do in this amendment is say value it at 150 percent, so that you guarantee as best you can that the net book value of personal property covers the \$100 million cost that needs to be covered to insure that real estate taxes don't go up. I am convinced that real estate taxes are going to go up, that the net book value approach doesn't raise the kind of revenue, that the compliance, as it was with the old ad valorem method, is going to continue to prevail. That folks are going to say, and if you listen to them on the street, and if my colleagues that I hear talk about it are telling the truth when they say what they hear back home is, well, we just won't pay it, that that is going to continue to hold true, that folks won't file, whether it is a state system or whether the auditor has the subpoena power or not, that it is not going to be there, except for, as someone has said, only the honest people will pay. Well, I just want to make sure the honest people pay all of that \$100 million and I am going to require that it be, under this amendment, valued at 150 percent of net book. It...we don't know what the reporting