

would be constitutional?

SENATOR WARNER: Well it's essentially, Senator Rogers, it's the same argument that I was using here. It is my belief that you will not be able to pass a constitutional amendment that would permit personal property be treated in any fashion other than market value, if business equipment revenue is replaced with a sales and/or income tax, which predominantly will be paid by individuals. I believe that you would find a great many people voting no.

SENATOR ROGERS: Well, I guess I...from the part of the country I come from I can disagree with you wholeheartedly because...

SENATOR WARNER: Senator, if you're talking about my neighbors that farm across the road, you bet, they'll vote yes, but the great many people I've talked to, who do not have personal property, argue that they are not willing to increase their tax burden in order to provide an exemption for business equipment, which is the 100 million.

SENATOR ROGERS: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Rogers. Senator Hefner.

SENATOR HEFNER: Madam President, I call the question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Is there sufficient seconds? There are. We will now vote on the motion to cease debate. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted on the motion to cease debate? Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: We have ceased debate, and I will recognize Senator Hall for closing.

SENATOR HALL: Madam President, I'd like to ask for a call of the house, and then I'd close while people are coming to their chairs.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. We have a request for call of the house. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record, Mr. Clerk.