

March 3, 1992

LB 1063

SENATOR WITHEM: ...that will be used, because people aren't listening or aren't paying attention, or don't understand what the court says. They'll be coming back, I'm sure, later on with let's just extend 829 a year. You can't do that. You're...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...hiding from the problem, the problem is we have to make a determination of what the taxing scheme will be for dealing with personal property. LB 1063 is a compromise approach, it's gone from a negative impact on agriculture of \$48 million that we would have if we allowed the current law to take...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...place, to \$13 million negative impact on agriculture. Already, 1063 has already moved us to...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time, Senator Withem. Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...two-thirds, three-fourths of the way across...Paldon me.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MOUL: My microphone isn't working well. Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Rogers, followed by Senator Hefner, Senator Kristensen, Senator Schmit, Senator Lamb, Senator Hall, Senator Haberman, Senator Elmer, Senator Morrissey, and Senator Schimek. Senator Rogers.

SENATOR ROGERS: Madam President, is Senator Landis in here? Senator Warner, I'd like to ask you a question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR ROGERS: In your estimation, I mean we're debating all this and I'm beginning to think that even if we get it on the ballot I'm real concerned that it would even pass. What is your feeling, or what is your argument, or what is your discussion against sales and income tax to solve this whole problem which