

valued at its actual value. I think it spells out that if the constitutional amendment doesn't pass, MAPCO takes effect.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: And that's the way I understood it. And thank you. And the problem with that is we don't know how the people will vote. Senator Lindsay thinks they may vote one way, I think they may vote another way, or the same way, but for different reasons, possibly. And I mentioned it last week, if my people look, say, well I get a 20 percent reduction in my property taxes, I'm going to hope everything falls. Well that is well and good, unless you're going to lose your job over inventory and other things going on, because if you lose your job, it doesn't matter what your property taxes are, you can't pay. And I agree with Senator Schmit. The logical course for the court to take is then to go for all property, all property. That is the only clear and concise message that I see. What has been described as a clear message is all property should be taxed uniformly, except for those we haven't talked about. I see as further challenges come up everything is knocked out, religious, homestead exemptions, everything that is specifically listed in here is then knocked out. So I'm going to support Senator Hall's amendment. Because if the...I see it if the constitutional amendment fails, everything goes back on, that's the worst possible scenario.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: I will go with Senator Hall's amendment because it will...it could prevent that from happening. I'm not comfortable enough with this whole process to say that it would, but I think it could. And everything going back on might lower my property taxes, it might lower my property taxes. But if I don't have a job it doesn't really matter, it doesn't really matter. And the person I asked this question to in Palmyra the other night at a public meeting just happened to work for a car dealer, just happened to work for a car dealer. And he said, well, and he was tickled that his taxes may go down on his house tax. Then when you discuss what his boss may do when inventory goes on, then he wasn't so pleased, wasn't so pleased. There is no clear message sent by the court, in my opinion. The message sent by the court is very confusing because of the things they left off if indeed all personal...