

provision which apply to real property, and for the separate classification of certain property and franchises not listed or named; to harmonize a provision relating to the limitation on county taxes and to provide that legislation passed in the 1992 legislative session pursuant to this amendment shall be ratified and confirmed by such amendment. I would like to have somebody stand up on this floor and explain to me why the average citizen is not going to be confused by this language. They can't understand this language. They don't have the input that we have. They don't have the facts that we have. And they don't care. This isn't going to pass, in my opinion. It is too complicated. It says, and the separation and classification of certain property and franchises. What does that mean? What property and franchises? We're not being up front. We're not actually, in my opinion, telling them what we're doing. We're trying to snow them and it isn't going to work, folks. It's not going to work. I can tell you if 40 votes are gathered to put this language on the ballot, and that's going to be the language, it isn't going to pass. In my opinion, it's not going to pass. This tells me that the citizens trust the Legislature. I do not believe that a lot of them trust the Legislature. Some of them are going to say this gives the Legislature a signed check to steal. Some of them are going to say this gives the Legislature who has the most votes to take care of their people. The people and...the farmers and the people in western Nebraska might say, well, I can't vote for that puppy, it takes all my rights, it takes me and then sells me down the river. So I will pass this out. I would like to have you read it, digest it, and then if any of you can come up to me and tell me how the average citizen will understand this, I will get up and explain it to everybody on the floor because, quite frankly, I don't understand how they're going to understand it either. So I support Senator Hall's amendment. I think it's a good amendment and we should adopt it. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I would rise to oppose the amendment, at least as I understand the amendment to be, and what I understand is if the constitutional amendment fails, that then all personal property is exempt. Now that may sound attractive. It's only attractive if you believe that the Constitution currently permits all personal property to be exempt. I know there are those who are promoting that. I do not believe that to be the case and I