

February 26, 1992 LB 1063, 1120, 1150

have any commitments. He says, well, we'll certainly work with you. And I talked with one of his representatives this morning and it still seems to be the position, we'll work with you but there are no commitments, there are no commitments. So you really are buying a pig in the poke if you're interested in...in having agriculture come out of this without a big deficit. LB 1063, as it stands, costs agriculture a lot of money and LB 1150 is...is pretty close to revenue neutral. Now, I have some questions and if Senator Warner would yield, I would like to ask Senator Warner some questions.

SENATOR CONWAY: Senator Warner, would you like to respond?

SENATOR WARNER: Sure.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Warner, first of all, in the rules it says if one senator asks another senator's bill to be pulled from committee, he has to have permission from that senator. I assume that you do...you have given permission for this bill to be pulled from committee.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes. Thank you. The Clerk is pleased to hear that. But let me ask you, Senator Warner, what commitments are there in regard to taking the sales tax off farm machinery if 1063 is advanced? And the same question about exempting all livestock?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Senator, you would be correct that what commitments I cannot say there are any commitments on anything, as it was demonstrated on LB 1120. We jumped from amendment to amendment, there apparently was no commitment to anything. Everything that got up was adopted, almost.

SENATOR LAMB: Would...

SENATOR WARNER: So...but are you asking me, do I see a logic to addressing the issue of...of the total impact on agriculture and looking for ways that lessens that impact? I think there is a realization in the body that such an approach needs to be looked at.

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.