

February 25, 1992 LB 1120

time, but I suspect that that certainly will violate the 4-R Act as far as railroads are concerned, at least on them. I have a lot of concern with the retail collection fee. I, as others have said on the floor, have had a great deal of objection to that from a variety of people over the last few months, and the explanation has always been, well, it was just for one year, and as others have said, now if we make it permanent is, I think, going to create some very difficult times for the small merchant. But the final thing, I take the position from the beginning that it's my belief, at least, if you want to guarantee putting all property back on the tax rolls at market value, probably the best way to do it is a general sales and income tax increase because I don't believe the public will support the constitutional amendment that I am convinced is necessary. By shifting, as Senator Withem has pointed out, shifting that 90 million of business tax over to individuals primarily, personal income tax and to sales tax, to me if that is the route the Legislature goes, it is a guarantee to fail, virtually a guarantee to fail for a constitutional amendment being approved. I have said a number of times that there is no issue that I think is more of a turning point on the economy of this state than to put everything back on. It is a gamble that I don't want to, personally, take, and I will not support this bill, not because I am personally offended by increased sales-income tax, but I think it is an absolute guarantee of putting all property back on at market value. Obviously, we had to get to this point. It took us a while to get here, but like Senator Withem, I will vote no on the adoption of the committee amendments as amended, realizing that at least there was a kill motion back of it, I assume, a friendly kill motion, which was to slow it down. But I think this is the place where one has to draw the line of whether or not we are going to tax personal property by failure of adopting a constitutional amendment by the citizens or not. I think this is the vote that does it, and I'd urge the body to reject the adoption of the committee amendments as amended.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Moore, did you wish...

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker, I tried to say nothing, and since the other side very eloquently, and very forcefully, and very emotionally, said what was the consequence of this vote, I have to say briefly that in many ways they are right. And I think here is the fork in the road, or whatever you want to call it,