

February 25, 1992 LB 1120

question for the courts.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, fair enough and I hope that...

SENATOR HALL: I did get the figure, it is 8.8 million on the rolling stock.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: You bet.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: My next question is sort of related, and, you know, we have so far dealt...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...with quite a few different schemes and plans, and, you know, how do we as...how do we take a look at this plan and say this distributes the tax burden fairly? It falls equally on businesses, it falls equally on individuals, it falls equally on rural, it falls equally on urban, I mean, how do we...we have had so many different plans come before us that it is hard to evaluate, and I suspect, Senator Hall, I don't know this for sure, that there will be more plans to come. Could you briefly address that in the time remaining?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Schimek, this proposal would just...I mean it very minimally, minimally, hits the individual. The vast majority of it falls on business. The next portion of it falls on ag, and only in the area of personal property repair or repair with regard to individuals, you know, if you get your refrigerator repaired, it would be...that would be subject to, excuse me, a sales tax...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...but there is a minimal impact on the individual. There is an impact on ag and the vast majority of it falls back under the old system to business.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Lindsay, you are next.