

areas, but we did fund the \$70 million. By the way, that \$70 million, 65 million was for revenue lost from taxes paid by rural people and only \$5 million was for revenue lost on business equipment, so...business inventory. So you can see, if the same formula holds throughout the 3-R proposal, that we can expect the farmers to pay basically 92 percent of the money that will be collected on the depreciated basis as opposed to only 8 percent that would be collected from business equipment. I think those numbers are significant. I would hope that we pursue this idea of Senator Hall's and that we do take the tax off motor vehicles. The kind of vehicles that I drive do not collect, or pay much taxes, but there are those who drive those higher-priced vehicles and I am sure they would appreciate the reduction. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schmit. Senator Moore, you're next.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, as we continue to discuss LB 1120 and hear the arguments against this bill, once again it will be, if by some chance 1120 would not advance and we go to 1063, it will be once again, as I said last week, it will be nice to pull out the arguments and use them all over again. Senator Withem argues that if you want to be consistent, if you really want to exempt everything, you have to cut motor vehicles. I mean, the argument can certainly be made that motor vehicles is in Section 1, everything else is in Section 2, maybe you're going to have to do that. Also what Senator Hall very accurately pointed out, if that's what the will of the body is and if you're concerned about the constitutional problems, you can exempt motor vehicles from property, personal property tax and you can solve that problem with the bill introduced by Senator Conway and Senator Hall. And so I agree with Senator Hall, if you really...that's probably a discussion to have after 1120 starts moving and that's the decision and the direction we're going to go, you can solve that problem at that time but I think Senator Lynch points out a valid point. If you're going to exempt it all, make it all and there was an argument whether motor vehicles should be lumped into that. But on the other hand if you want to talk about placing things on the tax rolls, if you want to talk about consistency in LB 1063 where you are going to say you are going to broaden the tax, actually you broaden it very little, only to one small group. If you want to say that you're going to tax all depreciable property, that's what you say in LB 1063, but in Section 10 of that bill on