

February 25, 1992 LB 1120

about continuing the tax on an ad valorem basis, either with this proposal, with 1053 or almost any other proposal, 1063, or any other proposal that has come before us. And then we have personal property which is anything other than real property. We have exempted over the years household goods, intangibles, livestock, farm equipment, and what this bill does is it takes one other step, takes out business machinery and equipment and repeals that, but this bill at this point leaves motor vehicles on as an item that is subject to local taxation, local property taxation, subject to a system of taxation. And if you're going to do that, if you're going to say that we ought to repeal personal property, you ought to be willing to go all the way and repeal the tax on motor vehicles. Again, I am assuming that this is not going to be one of those things that we're going to do because of the total of hours...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...that are left in here, we'll have to find a replacement revenue for this also. But I think if you're going to say to your citizens we're repealing personal property taxes, you ought to be honest with them and vote for the Lynch amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Withem. The next speaker is Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise in support I guess in concept with what Senator Lynch is doing. That's why I introduced a bill to do exactly that. There is only one problem with Senator Lynch's amendment. It lacks the replacement revenue necessary unless you want to argue that you're going to just reduce the amount of that personal property to the local entities. One of the arguments in favor of the 3-R proposal was that we wanted to keep that money at the local level. We wanted to make sure that property taxes don't go up. Well, if you adopt Senator Lynch's proposal without the funding mechanism in it, the fee schedule, the change that would have been in...well, which is in the bill that got advanced out of Revenue Committee to do just this, Senator Conway's bill, 1135, there were three bills introduced to do just this. It was my belief that the issue should not be mixed until the point in time that at least the committee amendments got adopted to 1120, so this amendment was coming. What I guess Senator Withem and Lynch are asking is that unless the amendment is on the bill or