

February 20, 1992 LB 1120

do it. Do them one at a time. It's very easy to make the argument in a lump sum that, hey, we don't want to tax services in this state. You know, the first thing is is that services are taxed. The second thing is you've got to move...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...in this direction. You are not going to be able to stick your head in the sand and no longer look at the service issue.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Lynch, followed by Senators Wehrbein, Conway and Will. Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Thank you, Madam President, and members, just a couple of things. I haven't talked and I don't intend to talk much now but Scotty mentioned a couple of things that I wanted to make sure I understood correctly. He mentioned something about we need temporary rules to force us to put something back in as far as revenues are concerned if we take something out. I was saying, boy, I wish he was here back in 1967-68, when this Legislature decided to totally exempt what was then partially exempt and never returned the money, never returned any kind of form of revenue back to the local jurisdictions. That's the reason we have right now 92 percent of the support to local jurisdictions is from property tax as a result of the personal property tax shifts. We should understand and know that. So maybe we should have a temporary...not a temporary rule but a permanent rule that says we just don't take anything out anymore, ever. Also, it was my understanding, since Scotty mentioned that only ag was coming back in that, under the 3-R proposal, centrally assessed property will, in fact, come back on as well. And the reason for that is obvious that the uniformity clause requiring personal property...or the clause that provides the personal property uniform will mandate that that happen. I thought it was just as important as we talk about this issue that we don't let it escape our minds, that, in fact, we are not now and I haven't heard yet anyone talking about all of 'hose people that have been paying tax when these exemptions started back in 1960...whenever it was, 7, that those people that got no exemptions, never have gotten any exemptions, continue to pay the 100 percent of every tax that comes along are going to be expected to pick up the difference for almost everything we have talked about so far. And until we hear some conversation that indicates that we all understand that, I'm not