

who does not agree with him on what the final solution would be, I would say he has bent over backwards to be fair on the issue and to give the proponents of LB 1063 an opportunity to make their case. And I hope we as a body, I know it's probably inevitable that some time during this debate and discussion, voices are going to be raised and things are going to be said that are not of a positive nature and knowing my own abilities to engage in debate and to speak occasionally without giving full thought to my statements, I will probably will be guilty of that some time as we go through this. But I think it's important that we as a body be very deliberative and very careful about how we deal with this proposal. Senator Moore, in his remarks, says let's get on with it, let's decide today. Senator Moore served on the 3-R Committee along with me, along with Senator Hall, along with other members of this body. We have spent countless hours looking at all alternatives, tweaking this proposal, testing this proposal, examining this proposal over here. We've spent a lot of time on it and I share his frustration with let's get on with it. But we're not just talking for ourselves here today. We're talking to the entire State of Nebraska. They are the second house in this measure. We do not have final say over what we do. I think for the benefit of the people of the state it is very important that we be deliberative, that we take, we follow a course, we examine what the policy alternatives are of following that course, consider it fully and then make a determination whether we're going to accept it or whether we're going to reject it. We have a process in here, bills are introduced, those bills are sent to committees, the committees make recommendations. The Revenue Committee in this case has said the alternative that they want the Legislature to examine is LB 1120. I think in the purpose of deliberative intentions, we ought to follow that course along and examine where that course is taking us. Is repeal of personal property a good idea? We need to explain to the people of this state why we think it is or why we think it isn't. Is the sales tax on services a good replacement revenue? We need to explain to the people of the state why it is or why it isn't. And although Senator Hall's offer here is very tempting, quite frankly, for somebody that is not looking forward to hours and hours and maybe into the evenings a few days of spending time on this particular measure, it's very tempting to say, yeah, let's take the bait now and let's...and I don't mean by take the bait as if there is anything sinister about this proposal, I shouldn't...maybe a term I shouldn't have used, yeah, let's take this offer and let's go ahead and bring 1063 in front of