

February 19, 1992 LB 1008

which, I believe, would fall in a different category of statutes and I, therefore, do not believe we're dealing in this area and I don't believe his amendment dealing with wheel tax has anything to do with the germaneness of whether or not a city of a metropolitan class ought to have the authority to implement a garbage fee.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Horgan, is there a fee spelled out in the bill on garbage?

SENATOR HORGAN: No, Madam, there is not. Simply allows the city to implement a fee, but it does not set a fee.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Okay, Senator Haberman, would you respond, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Madam President, it has nothing to do with the wheel tax. But if you look at the fiscal note, it says if the City of Omaha decided to charge a garbage fee to cover the cost of garbage collection and disposal, a fee of approximately \$7 per month per household would be charged. This fee, based on 100,000 households, would generate \$8.4 million. Now my amendment merely lowers the eight...or \$7 a month to \$1 a month. Now, if that's not germane, I'm in lots of trouble. But this whole bill is allowing them to charge a fee, they say how much they want to charge for the fee, and my amendment merely lowers it from \$7 a month to \$1 a month.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Haberman, I'm going to rule that your amendment is not germane because, in the bill itself, there is no fee set out.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, then, Madam President, what you're doing is that whenever we receive a fiscal note we can't go by what's on the fiscal note because the fiscal note states \$7 a month, it's going to raise 84 million...or \$8 million a year. Now somebody somewhere came up with the \$7 a month. That's not in the bill and not in any amendments, is what you're saying. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT MOUL: It's my understanding the \$7 fee is not in the bill or in the committee amendments.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Hmm. Well, then I guess I have to agree with you.