

February 12, 1992 LB 306

to do the studies to convince the department that, in fact, they should have an instream flow right.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: If I may continue, what I'm thinking of, it looks like to me the studies are going to have to include instream flows for wild life and those kind of things? Is that part of a study?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Oh, no.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Why wouldn't there have to...

SENATOR BEUTLER: All their study has to show is the connection between the stream flow and the wells.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Not that there is water left or would be...it has nothing to do with instream flows?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well it has to do with instream flows but they're going to be principally arguing their case that there is a hydrological connection between the creek or the natural stream and their wells. That's the kind of study that is going to be expensive I think and that is the cost that the municipality will have to bear or the other water supplier, whoever it may be.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. Well, I guess...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Anything clarifying that you think is necessary in that regard...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...would be welcomed.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: All right. I do need to do some checking on that particular area because I do have a concern and I will be working with you or something on that.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Rod Johnson, you're next.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have some questions of Senator Beutler, if he would yield.