

February 10, 1992 LB 349

some very bad results will...would derive from such a situation. So I think that, although I'm hopeful that the Department of Ag, through its other powers in this bill, doesn't get itself involved in water quality management anyway, which I think maybe it might do, at least as far as the plan is concerned, we will have severed that off and shifted it to an agency that has traditionally handled these kinds of matters and has the expertise to handle these kinds of matters and I think that's a reasonable compromise. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Rogers, you're next.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. Speaker and members, just a few comments. Senator Elmer mentioned about NRDs, NRDs do have and will have the option of having different programs in different areas. I mean, I don't see that as a very legitimate argument. Enforcement will still be under the Department of Ag. And, like I mentioned, it's the intent of the committee, I'm sure, to have some statewide meetings, to have some input with these agencies. I still have faith in this body to approve the plan when it does come back. EPA, in regard to can they pull some of these things, on I think it was December 16th, the meeting we had, and they said that they could restrict certain chemicals now. Now they haven't done this but I'm sure, if I understand the rules and the regulations as of now, they could do that now. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Rogers. Senator Robak, you're next.

SENATOR ROBAK: I call the question.

SPEAKER BAACK: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. We are voting on ceasing debate. Have you all voted?

SENATOR ROBAK: Could we have a call of the house?

SPEAKER BAACK: We have a request for a call of the house. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 13 ayes, 2 nays to go under call, Mr. President.