

dignity, or appropriateness, or the like. What I think we need to go back and think is even if we were to pick one or the other of the two choices ahead of us, we've probably met the basic standard of the public interest in the field. And that probably is a good point for us perhaps to take a break and follow Denny Byars suggestion, because what we ought to secure is the public interest here, and that's qualified health professionals attacking glaucoma, cooperatively with high standards of performance. And that's already basically secured by both approaches. Whether the two professions can get together, I don't know. But if they can't, I think we can go back to what we've got right now in this bill, pass it, and if you do, you've satisfied the public interest here of getting rural health care service, broadened opportunities for glaucoma coverage, and diagnosis and treatment, collaborative relationships out there among health care professionals, and high standards of responsibility. I am going to support Denny Byars' motion to bracket. If it is unsuccessful I think we come back and we pass LB 503 like it is, and we will have been well served in our discussions.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Wesely, followed by Senators Morrissey, Schellpeper, and Bernard-Stevens. Senator Wesely, do you wish to speak on the bracket motion?

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Madam President, I've been trying to talk with the sponsors of the bill, with the sponsors of the opposition as well as out in the lobby. I know there's some fear that both sides aren't ready to discuss this in good faith. But I think the point has been made this body is divided. There were 23 votes for that amendment. Clearly, there are some ideas out there that Senator Moore has got that he's ready to propose, that Senator Schellpeper is now proposing. It seems to me that we're not as far apart as we may think. I know that there's going to be some difference of opinion. But if we could get agreement as far down the road as we can, and then where we disagree, I guess, we'll have that disagreement. It would take us a long way toward developing the best policy, so I'm going to support the bracket motion by Senator Byars and suggest that I would be willing to get involved with the various members of the body who are interested. If you're interested in sitting down, I think this should be a discussion that can occur that will involve more than just the interested groups. But if senators are interested as well, we'll get you involved. So, rather than