

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Chambers, am I to interpret your remarks as a threat? Now, first of all, I intend to...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wait a minute, wait a minute.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...I intend to support your issue to lay it over, but I am talking about the remarks you made.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Haberman, I had said my remarks can be taken as a challenge if people choose to do so. That was not my intent but I wanted to lay it out on the table. If I am going to be dealt with in that fashion, I am going to give back what I receive. Now you can take it any way you want to.

SENATOR HABERMAN: In other words, you are saying the word challenge, instead of a threat. Is that correct, Ernie?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I have said what I intended to say and you can take it any way you choose, Senator Haberman. You are not simple-minded. You understand English. You understand what is being done here this morning, and I think you understand very well what I am saying, that child psychology does not even work on children.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But I will tell you something, Ernie, at least I would have guts enough to stand up here and say, Senator Haberman, it is a threat. I wouldn't wishy-washy around like you are. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER EAACK: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you. The words you used, Senator Chambers, combative, pugnacious, I usually associate with you, quite frankly. This is a difficult and weighty subject and I think it should be debated, and when Senator Chambers asks continually for this to be put on the agenda, I would think that he would time it when he had his supporters here. This is not the first time, this will not be the last time, I suspect. Why do not, when it is on the agenda, are those people here who do support repealing the death penalty? I wonder that. And Senator Ashford is a lawyer, you should know that, where are you, life without parole would dictate that LB 327 be a